

5-24-65

Was Bygones, best?  
Conant... right?  
if A-bomb had not  
been used, they  
would have been  
investigated, condemned,  
rehabilitated?!

Explanation could  
not have protected  
them?! (after writing?!  
at some time!)

Once it's built —  
on "option" —  
of reason to use  
it is forced enough —  
e.g. "justifying"  
the expense of  
building it which  
creates a need to  
use it.

A threat (or dmo)  
(+ offer to Empress, & SV)  
would have been a "use"  
— "winning votes"

(though not a good  
precedent!)

[Would we have  
be willing to offer  
Empire (after fab.  
adventure: SU)  
without having used  
the Bomb?

(Better — if Bomb  
not available — avert  
SU entry to offer — an  
Anglo-Polish).

Try to end even  
before Aug 15!

NPT

5-24-05

Countries that

do not put high  
priority on N-P; \*

and oppose measures  
that would strengthen

N-P:

US6  
RUSSIA  
Iran

Pak  
India  
(~~Bangladesh~~)

Japan  
Israel

(\* confirmed to: keeping  
an option for new,  
or for new new

US "consensus"

(NPT)

"preventing proliferation  
is more important  
than requiring or  
curbing or curbing  
or increasing rules"!

US: proliferation to friendly  
states is "no problem";  
or not very important,  
concerned to; or, "a solution,  
desirable..."

"The only problem  
is policy to unfriendly  
— and that's not my  
political compared  
to my other goals  
in conflict with it  
(e.g. regeneration  
US, friendly, US use  
(Japan, South...)

ISRAEL has used nukes!  
('73; '67; ' so has Pak, India  
US, US! NK nukes; SA?!



Presently

Prohibit to finally  
for US/NWS: central  
policy)

has not been  
a goal, or an  
important end —

though we suppose  
that the (Korzenyev)  
not demonstrate

a threat — person:  
of binding <sup>a weapon</sup> and  
tiring of only



~~The~~ NPT has  
disseminated this:

in note, not for  
NWS' disament  
(obviously not  
pertaining, since  
... 1969-70! (MIRV)

but 1) for help in  
new power and  
"approaching / virtual  
NW cap. (paper)

— make more acceptable  
of inspection

2) discouraging  
rivals from  
testing (using)  
wires.

(implication of  
them).

asked now of Egypt  
+ Iran  
3) NSA (no longer —  
we've uncovered  
that is identical —

Iran, North Korea  
Iran, Iraq

(even offered to  
Soviet, or Pak!)

FU threat has  
always required  
war - certainty  
of no new response;

ult. of ~~these~~  
conf. to disarm  
(US/SU)

that ~~is~~ i.e. we  
don't care, w+  
threat to US, if

4-22-05

Free trade  
globalization

Have used Milton  
Friedman apply this  
to ~~the~~ P<sub>0</sub> and U<sub>235</sub>?

(see below)

or, nuclear technology?

Could the support  
NPT and N Technology  
"Nuclear on Trade"??  
SU-type controls?  
(NFU?)

Birds

JRO represents the  
best of America  
and the worst.

(suicidal a year  
after Howard)

O under the

participated in targeting

N x N

O behind them was  
no alt. to using bomb  
then on invasion.

He learned after  
the war... that...

[like me, wot  
30 missile gaps!

He learned:

"Never say yes without  
examining the alternatives."

Dril he, in  
1949-50 propose a  
test base -

~~at that~~ or just,  
refraining from the  
crash development effort  
(Mutual Assured  
Stability)

as uninspectable  
monitoring on research  
& development of H -  
but NF Test & NFU (even)  
18 mos. delayed H (?)



Could 2x1 decision  
against VRO's security  
clearance be over-  
turned?

on grounds of  
illegality

Straw's publication  
of the transcript  
ruined his career.

Ask authors:  
Tell us the  
cost you must  
regret?

if it were  
50 pages longer...

(12,000 pages of FBI  
file

[Lawrence refused  
to talk - feared  
a new McCarthyism  
like all my  
important authors

Brotherhood of the  
Bambs

1938-42

Harlan Thiers

Office was in CP,  
"special section"

S + B - ~~unconvincing~~ -  
regard evidence as  
circumstantial &  
inconclusive.

S - FBI could not  
convict Office was  
- CP. Chavakis said

yes, "a closed  
unit."

HC: "It was  
anything you wanted  
to make of it."

Who knew? "The  
members

"It was up to Oppie  
to decide whether  
he was a Communist,  
HC believed he was, and  
that Oppie believed the  
same - but Oppie always

denied it.

Dial office was  
subject to Party  
discipline? no!

On Oppio & B.C.

James Hijiya

The Title of J.

Robert Oppenheimer

Proceedings of the  
American Philosophical  
Society

Vol. 144, No. 2,

June ~~20~~ 2000

Office (Brail)

"Nues will work  
over impossible."

Wrong. Kilmie  
H bent. (CW, BW)

As for Hattis,  
MG, (crossbow)  
dynomite.

There has never  
been, ~~what~~



nor will there ever  
be, such a weapon.

Now a weapon  
too terrible to be  
actually produced  
(see-9), medical,  
~~thrusting~~ right  
or left.

for use by tanks,  
or against humans.

nor will there ever  
be a war that will  
end war.

Without news,  
no country in  
the world could  
do to one American  
city what we did  
to every Japanese  
& German city in  
WWII (or in  
NVN or NK or  
Iraq).

~~With~~ In this new  
era, any country  
noted it over to be

that to an  
American city —  
or, a terrorist  
gang.

One stake is  
burning the  
spread of our  
material <sup>to the SU</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>means</sup>

so just as Frank's  
(Wet SU / Russia)

~~admission~~  
Wet Oraks.

Nasser

against defenceless

(in crisis on

can't

or of air

(bombs who

can kill

at a distance

no.  
Japan:

S.B.

A-600

gas

and without fear

of Natal

(though UK and

Germany will

export Natal!

UK welcomed!

High priority!  
the anti-prolif:

<sup>all?</sup>  
(inset "lands" of prolif  
after China were

all friends!!

(NOT SATELLITES)

(now:

(SH)  
Hong  
Kong  
Taiwan

NK

(~~Pak~~)

Israel  
Jordan

Pak

Sing

Japan

(Sweden)

(Iran)

Hong

Pak

Russia

Iran?

A.Q.

Pak

non-  
deterrable

Problem now: